

Message

From: Robinson, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]
Sent: 9/4/2020 4:36:51 PM
To: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K [lkimball@blm.gov]
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: EPA documents

That makes sense! I will check in with our NEPA program to see when they are available and get back to you.

Valois

Valois Robinson
EPA Region 8
Underground Injection Control Program
MailCode: 8WD-SDU
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
(303) 312-6276
robinson.valois@epa.gov

From: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K <lkimball@blm.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 10:34 AM
To: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: EPA documents

A call would be great. I just want to fully understand the implications. And were these concerns addressed in the final EIS so that the rating was increased?

My calendar is pretty open next week, so any time would be fine with me.

Thanks.

Chip

From: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 10:25 AM
To: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K <lkimball@blm.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: EPA documents

Here is the paragraph from our Cumulative Effect Analysis about the EPA NEPA review:

The EPA NEPA program reviewed the NRC SEIS and gave the draft SEIS a rating of EC-2. The EC (Environmental Concerns) rating indicates the EPA review identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. The numerical Category 2 - Insufficient Information rating indicates the draft EIS does not contain sufficient information to fully assess environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment, or the reviewer has identified new reasonably available alternatives that are within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the proposal. The identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS. Some of

these concerns were addressed in the Final SEIS. However, the EPA review letter for the Final SEIS included discussion of some remaining concerns and suggestions for how to address them.

How about I set up a call with our NEPA program next week so you could discuss your concerns with them.?

Valois

Valois Robinson
EPA Region 8
Underground Injection Control Program
MailCode: 8WD-SDU
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
(303) 312-6276
robinson.valois@epa.gov

From: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K <kimball@blm.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 10:22 AM
To: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: EPA documents

Valois,

EPA's objections to the EIS concern me and you and I need to discuss this at some point. What was the final grade EPA assigned?

Chip

From: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 10:01 AM
To: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K <kimball@blm.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: EPA documents

<p>This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.</p>
--

Here are the letters to NRC from EPA NEPA program.

I was hoping to find some standard language that captures the scope of our permitting actions. I will send that next.

Valois

Valois Robinson
EPA Region 8
Underground Injection Control Program
MailCode: 8WD-SDU
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
(303) 312-6276
robinson.valois@epa.gov

From: Kimball, Lori (Chip) K <lkimball@blm.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 9:40 AM
To: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: EPA documents

Hi Valois.

When we visited, you were going to send me copies of the EPA grading for the NRC EIS. Have you found that? Also, a list of the permits the EPA is required to issue for Dewey Burdock would be helpful.

Thank you and have a great long weekend!

Chip